IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 16TH AUGUST 2007 / 25TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 24847 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

K.V.SARADA,
SARADA NIVAS, EDAYANNUR P.O.,
CASUAL SWEEPER, OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, IRITTY, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.PAREETH

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. PRINCIPAL, AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, KANNUR, CIVIL STATION, F BLOCK, KANNUR-2.

4. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, IRITTY BLOCK AREA, CHALODE, P.O.EDAYANNUR, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 24847 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 16th day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner, who is a Part Time Sweeper in the office of the 4th respondent, is claiming the benefit of regularisation pursuant to Ext.P1 Government Order dated 25/11/05. It is stated that by Ext.P2, the 3rd respondent made a proposal to the 2nd respondent for the regularisation of the petitioner and that the 2nd respondent has forwarded the same to the first respondent, where it is pending.

2. Petitioner has also produced copy of a judgment of this court in WP(C) No.23950/06 as Ext.P3, where in identical circumstances, relief was granted by directing the respondents to consider the proposal and pass orders thereon. According to her, Ext.P4 is the order that was passed pursuant to Ext.P3 judgment, where the benefit of regularisation was granted to the petitioner in Ext.P3

judgment.

3. Taking into account the aforesaid facts, I dispose of this writ petition directing the first respondent to pass final orders on Ext.P2 proposal, that is pending consideration. Orders on Ext.P2 shall be passed, at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

4. Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the first respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp